

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,925	06/16/2000	BRIAN BRANSCOMB	102689-26	3551
21125	7590 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			DINH, TUAN T	
	DE CENTER WEST		I ADTIBUTE I	DARED MARKED
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			2827	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/595,925	BRANSCOMB, BRIAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T Dinh	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the new earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	02-02-01		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2000 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	nents have been received.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ū	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ū	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a Attachment(s)	priority documents have been treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). I list of the certified copies not be a common of the certified copies.	t received.	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	priority documents have been treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ilist of the certified copies not the certified n	ū	

Application/Control Number: 09/595,925

Art Unit: 2827

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 91, line 8, applicant should provide "the Patent application serial number" which filed on May 20, 2000".

Examiner requests to applicant to revise or submit a new specification because these words are not "the same font"

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because none of the drawings shows "the first portion comprises a top portion, a top, front portion, or a top, back portion, and the second portion comprises a bottom portion, a bottom, front portion, or a bottom back portion" see claims 9-11. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 10, it is unclear. What does applicant mean by "the first portion comprises a top, front portion...the second portion comprises a bottom, front portion."

Are they the same part or different part? To apply art, examiner assumes they are the same part.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear. What does applicant mean by "the first portion comprises a top, back portion...the second portion comprises a bottom, back portion." Are they the same part or different part? To apply art, examiner assumes they are the same art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aug et al. (US 5,023,754).

Regarding claims 1, 5, Aug et al. discloses a network device (20), column 2, line 60, as shown in figures 2-4 comprising:

second and fourth functional PCBs (56), see figure 3, located in a second portion (connector 28) of the network device, wherein the second and fourth functional PCBs are reverse orientated within the network device with respect to the first functional PCB;

a first mid-plane (30), column 3, line 6, connected to the first and third functional PCBs (56);

a second mid-plane (44), column 3, line 23, connected to the second and fourth functional PCB (56); and

a switch fabric card (22), column 3, line 62, connected to both the first and second mid-planes.

Regarding claim 12, Aug et al. discloses a network device (20), column 2, line 60, as shown in figures 2-4 comprising:

a first plurality of functional printed circuit boards (PCBs) (56), see figure 3, column 3, and line 9, located in a first portion (connectors 28) of the network device (the device having a backplane 22);

a second plurality of functional PCBs (56), see figure 3, located in a second portion (connector 28) of the network device, wherein the second plurality of functional PCBs are reverse orientated within the network device with respect to the first plurality of functional PCBs;

a first mid-plane (30), column 3, line 6, connected to the first plurality of functional PCBs (56);

a second mid-plane (44), column 3, line 23, connected to the second plurality of functional PCB (56); and

a switch fabric card (22), column 3, line 62, connected to both the first and second mid-planes.

As to claims 2-4, 6-8, and 16-18, Aug et al. discloses the first and second boards (logic elements cards 56) inherently be one of forward, cross-connection, or universal port cards as disclosed in figure 3.

As to claims 9-11, and 13-15, Aug et al. discloses the first and second portions (28) comprised top and bottom portions of the network device as disclosed in figure 3.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Casanova et al., Wicklund et al., Lam et al., Hauke et al., Uzuka et al., and Ho et al. disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Dinh March 20, 2004.

/KAMAND CUNEO UPERVISORY PATENT EVAL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800